



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,226	10/04/2001	Vadim Lander	063170.6963(20000430)	4394
5073	7590	08/10/2006	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			SHIFERAW, ELEN I A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

09/972,226

Applicant(s)

LANDER, VADIM

Examiner

Eleni A. Shiferaw

Art Unit

2136

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-30.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☐ Other: _____.


Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding 102 rejection, the examiner disagrees with the appellant's. Saito discloses ... the client transmitting a user ID and a password to the application server to initially make a service request, in single sign-on method, and the application server transferring the user ID and the password to the integrated authentication server. In that case, the integrated authentication server checks the user for the right to access the application server and if valid, the integration authentication server prepares an integral certificate (unique universal user identifier) (see, Saito, col. 2 lines 28-39 and col. 5 lines 51-56) and Saito on col. 5 also describes, creating an integrated certificate through conventional log-in effected by inputting a user ID and a password and thereafter, each time that the server process shifts from one to another, the client transmits the integrated certificate to a particular application server, thereby permitting a single sign-on.

Regarding 103 rejection, Cohen teaches receiving user request to access target application on a target resource in a distributed computer enterprise, the user information is searched, and passwords/keys and the target logon information are retrieved from repository (PKM and/or CIM) based on the user request to access resources. The logon coordinator then uses the retrieved passwords/keys and the target login information to sign-on to various target systems and application resources (col. 6 lines 19-51).

Wood discloses in a networked information environment having multiple resources, the network, generating a unique universal user identifier or "unique session identifier" and retrieving and/or storing the generated unique session cookie identifier on the client browser to indicate the user is authenticated and allowing single sign-on authenticated access to multiple resources (Wood col. 24, lines 5-4, col. 3 lines 2-6, and 45-53, col. 11 lines 60-67, col. 12 lines 52-col. 13 lines 36 and col. 22 lines 20-40). Unique session identifier is a unique session token which may be any data supplied to the client entity for use in uniquely identifying an associated session in communication of multiple resources in a method of single sign-on. Unique session identifier is also employed to facilitate session continuity and to allow the security architecture to associate prior authentication of login credentials with an incoming access request. Session tokens are issued to client entities as part of an interaction with the security architecture and are thereafter presented with access requests (claim 2 and col. 8 lines 54-col. 9 lines 11).

Johnson discloses a method that allows a single sign-on authentication of customers in a multi-vendor e-commerce environment and to methods and systems for directory authentication of electronic bank drafts (col. 1 lines 13-17), authenticator server has a repository (master list) that holds unique user identifiers that is uniquely generated for users based on user's information, unique user identifier is retrieved from the master list repository and compared with request identifier whenever the user requests an access to multiple vendor's and access to multiple resources is granted or denied based on authentication (col. 10 lines 19-27, col. 3 lines 28-63, and col. 6 lines 61-67). Accordingly, claims 1-30 stand rejected.

NASSER MOAZZAMI
PRIMARY EXAMINER



8/7/06